

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Lorne A. Whitehead,
Helge Seetzeen, et al.

FILED VIA EFS on February 22, 2008

Application No. 10/507,460

Filed: September 10, 2004

Confirmation No. 6763

For: HIGH DYNAMIC RANGE DISPLAY
DEVICES

Examiner: Christopher E. Mahoney

Art Unit: 2862

Attorney Reference No. 22-69459-01

FILED VIA EFS
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

This responds to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed January 29, 2008, for the above-referenced application.

Applicants respectfully submit that the referenced Amendment that was filed on December 26, 2007, is compliant in all regards.

The Notice indicates that the Amendment is considered non-compliant because “the drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as ‘Replacement Sheet.’”

In fact, no “drawings” were submitted with the Amendment of December 26, 2007.

Accompanying the Amendment was a diagram showing the family trees of various patent applications generally related to the subject matter of the above-referenced application. That diagram is not a new or substitute drawing to appear in the patent application. It is provided merely to help the reader understand the relationships of the applications.

Applicants have not requested that the diagram be entered as a drawing and do not want the diagram to appear in the resulting patent.

The Notice thus was sent in error and should be withdrawn.


Review of the Amendment and favorable consideration of the application are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By


Richard J. Polley
Registration No. 28,107